

SMALL SYMPLECTIC CALABI-YAU SURFACES AND EXOTIC 4-MANIFOLDS VIA GENUS-3 PENCILS

R. İNANÇ BAYKUR

ABSTRACT. We explicitly produce symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils (with base points), whose total spaces are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to rational surfaces $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ for $p = 7, 8, 9$. We then give a new construction of an infinite family of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with first Betti number $b_1 = 2, 3$, along with a surface with $b_1 = 4$ homeomorphic to the 4-torus. These are presented as the total spaces of symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils we construct via new positive factorizations in the mapping class group of a genus-3 surface. Our techniques in addition allow us to answer in the negative a question of Korkmaz regarding the upper bound on b_1 of a genus- g fibration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the advent of Gauge theory, many new construction techniques, such as rational blowdowns, generalized fiber sum, knot surgery and Luttinger surgery, have been introduced and successfully employed to produce symplectic 4-manifolds homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to rational surfaces $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p \geq 2$. (e.g. [1, 2, 7, 21, 28, 29, 32, 31, 36, 37, 46, 58, 57, 65].) All these 4-manifolds should admit symplectic *Lefschetz pencils* (which, by definition, always have base points) by the ground-breaking work of Donaldson [22]. Despite this a priori existence result, no explicit Lefschetz pencils on these *small* (as in *small* second homology) exotic 4-manifolds were known up to date.

The main goal of this article is to introduce novel ways of constructing *small* positive factorizations (as in *small* number of positive Dehn twists) of the boundary multi-twist in the mapping class group Γ_g^m of a compact genus- g surface with $m > 0$ boundary components, which correspond to monodromy factorizations of genus- g Lefschetz pencils on small symplectic 4-manifolds. A first application yields the first explicit examples of pencils on exotic rational surfaces:

Theorem 1. *There are symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils (X_i, f_i) whose total spaces are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (6 + i) \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. They realize the smallest possible genera pencils in these homeomorphism classes.*

A key result leading to Theorem 1 is that $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p \leq 9$, does not admit a genus $g \geq 2$ Lefschetz fibration or a genus- g Lefschetz pencil with $m < 2g - 2$ base points (Lemma 7), which provides a new criterion to argue that the total spaces of such pencils/fibrations like the ones in Theorem 1 are exotic. We moreover observe that $g = 3$ is the smallest possible genus for a Lefschetz pencil on these exotic 4-manifolds (Remark 9). We hope that the additional information on these exotic symplectic 4-manifolds we construct, namely the existence of genus-3 pencils on

them, will help with understanding whether there are distinct minimal symplectic 4-manifolds in the homeomorphism classes $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p < 9$ (a question which is still open as of today; see Remark 11).

To produce the positive factorizations for the pencils in Theorem 1, we employ a new way of deriving a positive factorization $\widetilde{W}$ in Γ_g^m , $m \geq 0$ from a collection of positive factorizations $W_1, \dots, W_k$ of *boundary twists* in Γ_h^n , with $h < g$ and $n > 0$. For (X, f) the Lefschetz pencil / fibration corresponding to $\widetilde{W}$ and (X_i, f_i) to W_i , we say (X, f) is obtained by *breeding* $(X_1, f_1), \dots, (X_k, f_k)$. This involves embedding the latter into Γ_g^m as factorizations for *achiral* Lefschetz pencils / fibrations, and then canceling matching pairs of positive and negative Dehn twists. The idea for this construction scheme comes from the smallest hyperelliptic genus-3 Lefschetz *fibration* Mustafa Korkmaz and the author produced in [14].

A careful variation of our construction of (X_i, f_i) yield to our next theorem:

Theorem 2. *For any pair of non-negative integers $M = (m_1, m_2)$, there exists a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil $(X_{i,M}, f_{i,M})$ with $c_1^2(X_{i,M}) = 3 - i$, $\chi(X_{i,M}) = 1$, and $\pi_1(X_{i,M}) = (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Infinitely many of these pencils have total spaces homotopy inequivalent to a complex surface.*

In contrast, I know of only one explicit example of a non-holomorphic genus-3 Lefschetz *pencil* in the literature, due to Ivan Smith. ([61][Theorem 1.3]; see also Remark 13.) Our construction technique yielding the pencils in Theorem 2 can be easily generalized to obtain many other non-holomorphic pencils.

The second part of our article will deal with new constructions of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces. Recall that a symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) is called a *symplectic Calabi-Yau surface* (SCY) if it has trivial canonical class $K_X \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, in obvious analogy with complex Calabi-Yau surfaces. As shown by Tian-Jun Li, any *minimal* symplectic 4-manifold with Kodaira dimension zero is a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface or has trivial canonical class [47]. Up to date, the only known examples of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces that are not diffeomorphic to complex Calabi-Yau surfaces are torus bundles over tori (at least *virtually*)¹, leading to the question [47, 24]:

Question 3. *Is every symplectic Calabi-Yau surface with $b_1 > 0$ diffeomorphic to an oriented torus bundle over a torus?*

Works of Tian-Jun Li and Stefan Bauer independently established that any symplectic Calabi-Yau surface with $b_1 > 0$ has the same rational homology as a torus bundle (and that of K3 and the Enriques surface when $b_1 = 0$) [47, 49, 8]. All torus bundles over tori have *solvmanifold fundamental groups* [41], and notably, it was shown by Stefan Friedl and Stefano Vidussi that the *homeomorphism type* of a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface with a solvmanifold fundamental group is uniquely determined [34]. As stated by Tian-Jun Li [50], what seems to commonly provide a posteriori reasoning for a positive answer to the Question 3 is the lack of any new constructions of symplectic 4-manifolds of Kodaira dimension zero. Surgical

¹A couple other examples of SCYs, such as symplectic S^1 -bundles over T^2 -bundles over S^1 , although not known to be torus bundles themselves, are finitely covered by them [34].

operations widely used to construct most interesting symplectic 4-manifolds in the past, such as Luttinger surgery, generalized fiber sums, knot surgery, or simplest rational blow-down operations, do not produce any new SCYs [40, 50, 72, 25].

We will present a new construction of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with $b_1 > 0$ via *Lefschetz pencils*, where the genus of the pencil (X, f) versus $c_1^2(X)$, building on the work of Cliff Taubes, will determine the Kodaira dimension of X (Lemma 15; also [60]). Once again, we will focus on generating the smallest genus examples: genus-3 pencils. To produce our examples, we will use a version of the aforementioned breeding technique for producing new positive factorizations in Γ_3^m , which we will call *inbreeding*, where this time copies of the same positive factorization in Γ_2^n will be embedded into Γ_3^m by identifying some of the boundary components of the fiber Σ_2^n and introducing extra π_1 -generators this way. The result is a family of positive factorizations

$$W_\phi = t_{\phi(B_0)} t_{\phi(B_1)} t_{\phi(B_2)} t_{\phi(A_0)} t_{\phi(A_1)} t_{\phi(A_2)} t_{B'_0} t_{B'_1} t_{B'_2} t_{A'_0} t_{A'_1} t_{A'_2} = 1$$

in Γ_3 , where $\phi \in \Gamma_3$ is parametrized by pairs of mapping classes $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Gamma_1^2$, and the curves B_j, A_j are as shown in Figure 4. Here we inbreed the monodromy factorizations of Matsumoto's well-known genus-2 Lefschetz fibration [52], and using further lifts of it obtained by Noriyuki Hamada [39], we can even derive an explicit lift of W_ϕ , which is a positive factorization of the boundary multi-twist $\Delta = t_{\partial_1} t_{\partial_2} t_{\partial_3} t_{\partial_4}$ in Γ_3^4 . Letting (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) denote the corresponding symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil, we obtain (Theorem 16):

Theorem 4. *Positive factorizations W_ϕ prescribe symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils on a family of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces X_ϕ in all rational homology classes of torus bundles over tori.*

This provides a first step towards analyzing the monodromies of pencils on a rich family of SCYs, as proposed by Simon Donaldson in [23][Problem 5].

The first question that arises here is whether or not all X_ϕ are diffeomorphic to torus bundles over tori, in reference to Question 3. Torus bundles over tori *with sections* do admit symplectic genus-3 pencils [62]; however the uncanny freedom we have in the choice of ϕ appears to exceed that of a torus bundle with a section (see the discussion in Remark 18). The explicit nature of our construction allows us to derive explicit presentations for $\pi_1(X_\phi)$, which for instance yields an X (when ϕ is trivial) that is –at least– homeomorphic to the 4-torus. We will discuss this example in complete detail in Section 4.1. It is not clear to us at this point whether or not *all* $\pi_1(X_\phi)$ are 4-dimensional solvmanifold groups (some of them certainly are), which we hope to understand in future work.

On the other hand, we observe that *all* X_ϕ are obtained from X , our SCY homeomorphic to the 4-torus, via *fibred* Luttinger surgeries along Lagrangian tori or Klein bottles (Remark 19). So if our family of X_ϕ is equal to the family of torus bundles over tori, it immediately confirms an improved version² of an interesting

²Only Luttinger surgeries along tori are considered in [40], but it is natural to include surgeries along Klein bottles, too.

conjecture by Tian-Jun Li and Chung-I Ho [40][Conjecture 4.9]: *Any smooth oriented torus bundle X possesses a symplectic structure ω such that (X, ω) can be obtained by applying Luttinger surgeries to $(T^4, \omega_{\text{std}})$* (Remark 19).

Finally, Theorem 4 implies that we have symplectic genus-3 pencils (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) with $H_1(X_\phi) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$ for any $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. This yields further (infinitely many) examples of non-holomorphic genus-3 pencils, in the same fashion as in our earlier examples in Theorem 2.

The techniques we employed to produce the genus-3 pencils in Theorems 1, and 4 can be easily adapted to produce higher genera pencils on similar 4-manifolds; we can in fact derive many of these by repeatedly breeding/inbreeding the same collection of positive factorizations used in this paper. This direction will be explored elsewhere. We will however discuss one immediate generalization of our construction of a genus-3 pencil to higher genera. It will allow us to address a problem raised by Mustafa Korkmaz [45][Problem 2.7], which we re-express here for pencils (which are even more constrained than fibrations):

Question 5. *Is $b_1(X) \leq g$ for any non-trivial genus- g Lefschetz pencil (X, f) ? Is there an upper bound on $b_1(X)$ linear in g , and sharper than $2g - 1$?*

In Section 4.3, generalizing our construction of a genus-3 pencil on X homeomorphic to the 4-torus, we will produce genus- g Lefschetz pencils violating the above bound for every odd $g > 1$. Though not much; we will indeed revamp Korkmaz's question by raising the upper bound to $g + 1$ (Question 20).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Weimin Chen, Bob Gompf, Jonathan Hillman, Tian-Jun Li, and Stefano Vidussi for helpful conversations related to our constructions in this paper. Thanks to Noriyuki Hamada for telling us about his preprint on the sections of the Matsumoto fibration. Our results on small symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces via Lefschetz fibrations with exceptional sections were first presented at the Great Lakes Geometry Conference in Ann Arbor in March 2015; we would like to thank the organizers for motivating discussions. Last but not least, we are grateful to Mustafa Korkmaz for his interest in our work and numerous stimulating discussions. The author was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1510395.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here we quickly review the definitions and basic properties of Lefschetz pencils and fibrations, Dehn twist factorizations in mapping class groups of surfaces, and symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces. The reader can turn to [38, 48, 13] for more details.

2.1. Lefschetz pencils and fibrations.

A *Lefschetz pencil* on a closed, smooth, oriented 4-manifold X is a smooth surjective map $f : X \setminus \{b_j\} \rightarrow S^2$, defined on the complement of a non-empty finite collection of points $\{b_j\}$, such that around every *base point* b_j and *critical point* p_i there are local complex coordinates (compatible with the orientations on X and S^2) with respect to which the map f takes the form $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1/z_2$ and $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 z_2$, respectively. A *Lefschetz fibration* is defined similarly for $\{b_j\} = \emptyset$. Blowing-up all

the base points b_j in a pencil (X, f) , one obtains a Lefschetz fibration $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ with disjoint (-1) -sphere sections S_j corresponding to b_j , and vice versa.

We say (X, f) is a *genus g Lefschetz pencil or fibration* for g the genus of a *regular fiber* F of f . The fiber containing the critical point p_i has a nodal singularity at p_i , which locally arises from shrinking a simple loop c_i on F , called the *vanishing cycle*. A singular fiber of (X, f) is called *reducible* if c_i is separating. When c_i is null-homotopic on F , one of the fiber components becomes an *exceptional sphere*, an embedded 2-sphere of self-intersection -1 , which we can blow down without changing the rest of the fibration.

In this paper we use the term Lefschetz fibration only when the set of critical points $\{p_i\}$ is non-empty, i.e. when the Lefschetz fibration is *non-trivial*. We moreover assume that the fibration is *relatively minimal*, i.e. it there are no exceptional spheres contained in the fibers, and also that the points p_i lie in distinct *singular fibers*, which can be always achieved after a small perturbation.

A widely used way of constructing Lefschetz fibrations is the *fiber sum* operation: if (X_i, f_i) are genus- g Lefschetz fibrations with regular fiber F_i for $i = 1, 2$, then their *fiber sum* is a genus- g Lefschetz fibration (X, f) , where X is obtained by removing a fibered tubular neighborhood of each F_i from X_i and then identifying the resulting boundaries via a fiber-preserving, orientation-reversing self-diffeomorphism, and where f restricts to f_i on each $X_i \setminus \nu F_i$. A Lefschetz fibration (X, f) that can be expressed in this way is called *decomposable*, and each (X_i, f_i) are called its *summands*.

Allowing local models $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 \bar{z}_2$ around the critical points p_i , called *negative* critical points, we can extend all of the above to *achiral* Lefschetz pencils and fibrations.

2.2. Positive factorizations.

Let Σ_g^m denote a compact, connected, oriented surface genus g with m boundary components. We denote by Γ_g^m its *mapping class group*; the group composed of orientation-preserving self homeomorphisms of Σ_g^m which restrict to the identity along $\partial\Sigma_g^m$, modulo isotopies that also restrict to the identity along $\partial\Sigma_g^m$. We write $\Sigma_g = \Sigma_g^0$, and $\Gamma_g = \Gamma_g^0$ for simplicity. Denote by $t_c \in \Sigma_g^m$ the positive (right-handed) Dehn twist along the simple closed curve $c \subset \Sigma_g^m$. The inverse t_c^{-1} denotes the negative (left-handed) Dehn twist along c .

Let $\{c_i\}$ be a *non-empty* collection of simple closed curves on Σ_g^m , which do not become null-homotopic when $\partial\Sigma_g^m$ is capped off by disks, and let $\{\delta_j\}$ be a collection of curves parallel to distinct boundary components of Σ_g^m . If the relation

$$(1) \quad t_{c_1} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1} = t_{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\delta_m}$$

holds in Γ_g^m , we call the word W on the left-hand side a *positive factorization* of the boundary multi-twist $\Delta = t_{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\delta_m}$ in Γ_g^m . (We will also use ∂_i instead of δ_i to denote boundary components at times when there are several surfaces with boundaries involved in our discussion.) Capping off $\partial\Sigma_g^m$ induces a homomorphism $\Gamma_g^m \rightarrow \Gamma_g$, under which W maps to a similar positive factorization of the identity element $1 \in \Gamma_g$.

The positive factorization in (1) gives rise to a genus- g Lefschetz fibration $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ with m disjoint (-1) -sections S_j , and equivalently a genus- g Lefschetz pencil (X, f) with m base points. Identifying the regular fiber F with Σ_g , we can view the vanishing cycles as c_i . In fact, every Lefschetz fibration or pencil can be described by a positive factorization W as in (1) [38, 42, 52], which is called the *monodromy factorization* of $\tilde{f}$ or f .

Let W be a positive factorization of the form $W = PP'$ in Γ_g^m , where P, P' are products of positive Dehn twists along curves which do not become null-homotopic when $\partial\Sigma_g^m$ is capped off by disks. If $P = \prod t_{c_i}$, as a mapping class, commutes with some $\phi \in \Gamma_g^m$, we can produce a new positive factorization $W_\phi = P^\phi P'$, where P^ϕ denotes the conjugate factorization $\phi P \phi^{-1} = \prod (\phi t_{c_i} \phi^{-1}) = \prod t_{\phi(c_i)}$. In this case, we say W_ϕ is obtained from W by a *partial conjugation* ϕ along the factor P . When $P, P' \in \Gamma_g$ are positive factorizations, $W = PP'$ is another positive factorization, Lefschetz fibration corresponding to which is a fiber sum of the fibrations corresponding to W' and W'' .

Allowing *negative* Dehn twists, which correspond to negative critical points, we can more generally work with *factorizations* for achiral Lefschetz fibrations and pencils. All of the above extend to this general case.

2.3. Symplectic 4-manifolds and the Kodaira dimension.

It was shown by Donaldson that every symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) admits a *symplectic* Lefschetz pencil whose fibers are symplectic with respect to ω [22]. Conversely, generalizing a construction of Thurston, Gompf showed that the total space of a (nontrivial) Lefschetz fibration, and in particular blow-up of any pencil, always admits a symplectic form ω with respect to which all regular fibers and any pre-selected collection of disjoint sections are symplectic [38]. Whenever we take a symplectic form ω on a Lefschetz pencil or fibration (X, f) we will assume that it is of Thurston-Gompf type, with respect to which any explicitly discussed sections of f will always be assumed to be symplectic as well.

The Kodaira dimension for projective surfaces can be extended to symplectic 4-manifolds as follows: Let $K_{X_{\min}}$ be the canonical class of a minimal model $(X_{\min}, \omega_{\min})$ of (X, ω) . The *symplectic Kodaira dimension* of (X, ω) , denoted by $\kappa = \kappa(X, \omega)$ is defined as

$$\kappa(X, \omega) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } K_{X_{\min}} \cdot [\omega_{\min}] < 0 \text{ or } K_{X_{\min}}^2 < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } K_{X_{\min}} \cdot [\omega_{\min}] = K_{X_{\min}}^2 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } K_{X_{\min}} \cdot [\omega_{\min}] > 0 \text{ and } K_{X_{\min}}^2 = 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } K_{X_{\min}} \cdot [\omega_{\min}] > 0 \text{ and } K_{X_{\min}}^2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Remarkably, κ is not only independent of the minimal model $(X_{\min}, \omega_{\min})$ but also of the chosen symplectic form ω on X : it is a smooth invariant of the 4-manifold X [47]. Symplectic 4-manifolds with $\kappa = -\infty$ are classified up to symplectomorphisms, which are precisely the rational and ruled surfaces [48]. Symplectic 4-manifolds with $\kappa = 0$ are the analogues of the Calabi-Yau surfaces that have torsion canonical class [47]. It has been shown by Tian-Jun Li, and independently by Stefan Bauer [47, 8], that the rational homology type of any *minimal* symplectic Calabi-Yau surface is that of either a torus bundle over a torus, the K3 surface, or the Enriques surface.

3. EXOTIC SYMPLECTIC RATIONAL SURFACES VIA GENUS-3 PENCILS

Here we construct explicit positive factorizations for symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils, whose total spaces are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to the complex rational surfaces.

3.1. Breeding genus-3 Lefschetz pencils from genus-2 pencils.

In [13], we have obtained the following relation in Γ_2^1

$$t_e t_{x_1} t_{x_2} t_{x_3} t_d t_C t_{x_4} = t_\delta,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$t_e t_{x_1} t_{x_2} t_{x_3} t_d t_{B_2} t_C t_\delta^{-1} = 1,$$

where $B_2 = t_c(x_4)$. Embed this relation into Γ_3^1 so that $\delta = \partial\Gamma_2^1$ is mapped to c' and the remaining curves are as in Figure 1, denoted by the same letters. (So, along with the embedding of x_4 , the original curves on Γ_2^1 can be all seen in the obvious subsurface of Γ_3^1 in Figure 1.) We thus get the following relation in Γ_3^1 :

$$(2) \quad t_e t_{x_1} t_{x_2} t_{x_3} t_d t_{B_2} t_C t_{C'}^{-1} = 1,$$

or $P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1} = 1$, where we set $\underline{P_1 = t_e t_{x_1} t_{x_2} t_{x_3} t_d t_{B_2}}$. Note that P_1, t_C and $t_{C'}$ all commute with each other.

Our second building block will be a positive factorization of the boundary multi-twist in Γ_2^2 , which is a *lift* of the monodromy factorization of Matsumoto's well-known genus-2 Lefschetz fibration [52]. Such a lift to Γ_2^1 was first found by Ozbagci and Stipsicz in [56] and a further lift to Γ_2^2 by Korkmaz in [44]. We will however work with another lift discovered by Noriyuki Hamada, which yields the following relation in Γ_2^2 [39]:

$$(3) \quad (t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_C)^2 = t_{\delta_1} t_{\delta_2},$$

where δ_i are the boundary parallel curves, and the curves B_i and C are as shown on the left-hand side of Figure 2. We can rewrite this relation in Γ_2^2 as

$$t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2} t_C^2 t_{\delta_1}^{-1} t_{\delta_2}^{-1} = 1,$$

where each $A_j = t_C(B_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ (Figure 2).

Let us first view this relation in Γ_2^1 by capping off one of the boundary components, say δ_1 . Embed the resulting relation into Γ_3^1 , as induced by the same embedding of Γ_2^1 into Γ_3^1 we used above so that the boundary is mapped to c' , and all the other curves are as shown in Figure 1, once again denoted by the same letters for simplicity. So the following holds in Γ_3^1 :

$$(4) \quad t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2} t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-1} = 1,$$

or $P_2 t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-1} = 1$, where $\underline{P_2 = t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2}}$. Here P_2, t_C and $t_{C'}$ all commute with each other.

Finally, we will embed the lat relation we had above in Γ_2^2 into Γ_3^1 using an embedding of Σ_2^2 into Σ_3^1 such that δ_1 is mapped to c , δ_2 is mapped to $\delta = \partial\Sigma_3^1$, and




FIGURE 1. Curves $C, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, d, e$ of the first embedding are given on the left. Curves $B_0, B_1, B_2, A_0, A_1, A_2$ of the second embedding (along with the same C) and $C', B'_0, B'_1, B'_2, A'_0, A'_1, A'_2$ of the third embedding are on the right.

the remaining curves are as shown in Figure 1, this time their labels are decorated by prime. This gives a third relation in Γ_3^1 :

$$(5) \quad t_{B'_0} t_{B'_1} t_{B'_2} t_{A'_0} t_{A'_1} t_{A'_2}^2 t_{C'}^{-1} = t_\delta,$$

or $P'_2 t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-1} = t_\delta$, where $P'_2 = t_{B'_0} t_{B'_1} t_{B'_2} t_{A'_0} t_{A'_1} t_{A'_2}$. Similar to the above, P'_2, t_C and $t_{C'}$ all commute with each other.

Now for $\phi = t_{b_1}^{-1} t_{a_2}$ (where b_1, a_2 are as in Figure 3), and in fact for any mapping class in Γ_3^1 that keeps C and C' fixed, we have

$$(P_1)^\phi P_1 P'_2 t_C = (P_1)^\phi t_C t_{C'}^{-1} P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1} P'_2 t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-1} = (P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1})^\phi t_\delta = t_\delta,$$

where the first equality follows from the commutativity relations noted above, and the second equality follows from the relations (2)–(5). So $\underline{W_1 = (P_1)^\phi P_1 P'_2 t_C}$ is a positive factorization of t_δ in Γ_3^1 . Similarly, we get two more positive factorizations $\underline{W_2 = (P_1)^\phi P_2 P'_2 t_C}$ and $\underline{W_3 = (P_2)^\phi P_2 P'_2 t_C}$ of t_δ in Γ_3^1 .

Each W_i prescribes a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz fibration $(\widetilde{X}_i, \widetilde{f}_i)$ with a (-1) -section, which can be blown-down to arrive at a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil (X_i, f_i) with one base point. They are all obtained by *breeding* the genus-2 Lefschetz pencils whose monodromies we have lifted to Γ_3^1 .

3.2. Homeomorphism type of the pencils (X_i, f_i) .

We will prove the following:

Lemma 6. X_i is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (6+i) \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. The proof will follow from Freedman's celebrated work [33], for which we will determine the Euler characteristic, the signature, and the spin type of X_i , while showing that X_i is simply-connected for all $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The Euler characteristic of X_i is given by

$$e(X_i) = e(\widetilde{X}_i) - 1 = (4 - 4 \cdot 3 + \ell(W_i)) - 1 = \ell(W_i) - 9,$$

where $\ell(W_i)$ is the number of Dehn twists in the positive factorization W_i . Since $\ell(P_1) = \ell(P_2) = \ell(P'_1) = 6$, we have $\ell(W_i) = 18 + i$, and in turn, $e(X_i) = 9 + i$.

The signature of X_i can be calculated as $\sigma(X_i) = -5 - i$ using Ozbagci's algorithm [54]. Here is a quick argument for this calculation: we have obtained the monodromy factorization of $(\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{f}_1)$ after canceling a pair of t_C and t_C^{-1} , and two pairs of $t_{C'}$ and $t_{C'}^{-1}$ in the factorization

$$(P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1})^\phi P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1} P'_2 t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-1} = 1$$

in Γ_3 (where we capped off the boundary). The latter is a factorization of a genus-3 *achiral* Lefschetz fibration, which is a fiber sum of three achiral Lefschetz fibrations prescribed by $P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1}$, $P_1 t_C t_{C'}^{-1}$, and $P'_2 t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-1}$. Each one of these three fibrations is obtained by a *section sum* of an achiral genus-2 Lefschetz fibration and a trivial torus fibration along a section of self-intersection zero, so by Novikov additivity, its signature is equal to that of the achiral genus-2 fibration. The hyperelliptic signature formula for genus-2 fibrations [52, 26] allows us to easily calculate these as -2 , -2 , and -3 , which add up to give signature -7 for the original genus-3

achiral Lefschetz fibration. The signature of $(\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{f}_1)$ is the same as the signature of this achiral fibration, since canceling a pair of separating Dehn twists with opposite signs amounts to taking out a pair of ± 1 contribution to the signature. Hence, we get $\sigma(X_1) = \widetilde{X}_1 + 1 = -6$. By identical arguments, we get $\sigma(X_2) = -7$ and $\sigma(X_3) = -8$.

Clearly each X_i has an odd intersection form: this can be easily seen from the presence of the reducible fiber component split off by the separating vanishing cycle C and not hit by the (-1) -sphere. (Alternatively, we can use Rokhlin's theorem after showing that $\pi_1(X_i) = 1$.)

Lastly, we will show that $\pi_1(X_i) = \pi_1(\widetilde{X}_i) = 1$, by calculating the latter as the quotient of $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$ by the subgroup normally generated by the vanishing cycles of $(\widetilde{X}_i, \widetilde{f}_i)$, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. It suffices to find enough relations that kill the generators $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3$ of $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$ (which are as shown in Figure 3), so we do not need to work with the full presentation.

Each positive factorization W_i contains the factor $P'_2 t_C$. So the following relations hold for the finite presentations we have for each $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2][a_3, b_3] = 1, \\
 (7) \quad & [a_1, b_1] = 1, \\
 (8) \quad & a_2 a_3 = 1, \\
 (9) \quad & a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 \bar{b}_3 = 1, \\
 (10) \quad & b_3 b_2 = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the relators (7)–(10) come from the vanishing cycles C, B'_0, B'_1, B'_2 , respectively. We have $a_2 = \bar{a}_3$ from (8) and $b_2 = \bar{b}_3$ from (10). Together with (9), these imply $[a_2, b_2] = [a_3, b_3] = 1$. Together with (7), we conclude $[a_j, b_j] = 1$ for every $j = 1, 2, 3$ (and (6) becomes a trivial relation).

From the factor P_1 , we get the following relations (among many others)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad & a_1(\bar{b}_1 a_2 b_2)^2 = 1, \\
 (12) \quad & a_1 \bar{b}_1^3 a_2 b_2 a_2 = 1, \\
 (13) \quad & a_1 \bar{b}_1^5 a_2 [b_2, a_2] b_1 a_2 = 1, \\
 (14) \quad & b_2 b_1 [b_3, a_3] = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

induced by the vanishing cycles x_1, x_2, x_3 and B_2 , respectively. Adding these to the previous relators from the factor P'_2 , we immediately see that $[a_3, b_3] = 1$ and (14) imply $b_1 = \bar{b}_2$. So (11) implies that a_1 can be generated by a_2 and $b_2 = \bar{b}_1$.

From the factor P_2 we get the following relations (again, among many others)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & a_1 a_2 = 1, \\
 (16) \quad & b_2 \bar{a}_2 b_1 \bar{a}_1 [b_3, a_3] = 1, \\
 & b_2 b_1 [b_3, a_3] = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

induced by the vanishing cycles B_0, B_1 and B_2 , respectively. We get $a_1 = \bar{a}_2$, and together with the relators from P'_2 we once again get $b_1 = \bar{b}_2$, since $[a_3, b_3] = 1$.

Since the positive factorization W_1 contains the factor $P_1 P_2' t_C$ and W_2, W_3 both contain the factor $P_2 P_2' t_C$, the above discussion shows that every $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}_i)$ is a factor of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by a_2 and b_2 . (In fact no additional relators come from the remaining twists in P_1, P_2 or P_2' .) For the remaining relators coming from the conjugated factors P_1^ϕ or P_2^ϕ , it therefore suffices to look at the relators they induce in $H_1(\Sigma_3)$. Moreover, this allows us to simply look at the homology classes of the vanishing cycles in these conjugated factors. (We haven't bothered to give explicitly for this very reason.)

Without the conjugated factor, in each case we have the abelianized relations

$$(17) \quad a_3 = -a_2 \text{ and } b_3 = -b_2 = b_1,$$

and depending on whether W_i contains the factor P_1 or P_2 , either

$$(18) \quad a_1 + 2a_2 + 4b_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_1, \text{ or}$$

$$(19) \quad a_1 + a_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_2 \text{ and } W_3,$$

where we used (17) to simplify.

For our conjugation $\phi = t_{b_1}^{-1} t_{a_2}$, we easily check using the Picard-Lefschetz formula that we get the additional relator

$$(20) \quad b_1 + a_2 + b_2 = 0,$$

from both P_1^ϕ and P_2^ϕ whereas, depending on whether it is P_1^ϕ or P_2^ϕ we get either

$$(21) \quad a_1 + b_1 + 6a_2 + 4b_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_1 \text{ and } W_2, \text{ or}$$

$$(22) \quad a_1 + b_1 + a_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_3.$$

Therefore, (17) and (20), which hold for all $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}_i)$, imply $a_2 = 0$. The relators in (18), (19) and (21), (22) involved in a given W_i then easily give $b_2 = -b_1 = 0$, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence in all cases we have got $\pi_1(X_i) = \pi_1(\widetilde{X}_i) = 1$.

By Freedman, we have X_i homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (6+i)\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. $\square$

3.3. Diffeomorphism type of the pencils (X_i, f_i) .

A quick amendment to the title: we will determine the “non-diffeomorphism type” of (X_i, f_i) , namely, we will prove that X_i are not diffeomorphic to rational surfaces. This will follow from the next lemma.

Lemma 7. *The rational surface $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p \leq 9$, does not admit a genus $g \geq 2$ Lefschetz fibration or a genus- g Lefschetz pencil with $m < 2g - 2$ base points.*

Proof. It suffices to show this for $X = \mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, since we can always blow-up on the fibers to produce a genus- g Lefschetz fibration (resp. pencil) on X from a given genus- g Lefschetz fibration (resp. pencil) on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for any $p < 9$. Assume that X is equipped with a genus $g \geq 2$ Lefschetz fibration or a pencil with $m < 2g - 2$ base points. For our arguments to follow, it will be convenient to allow m to be non-negative so that $m = 0$ marks the fibration case.

Let $F = aH - \sum_{i=1}^9 c_i E_i$ be the fiber class, where $H_2(X)$ is generated by H and $E_1, \dots, E_9$, with $H^2 = 1$, $E_i \cdot E_j = -\delta_{ij}$, and $H \cdot E_i = 0$. Since $F^2 = m$, we have

$$a^2 = m + \sum_{i=1}^9 c_i^2.$$

We can equip X with a Thurston-Gompf symplectic form ω which makes the fibers symplectic. Moreover, we can choose an ω -compatible almost complex structure J , even a generic one in the sense of Taubes (see e.g [70]), with respect to which f is J -holomorphic (for a suitable choice of almost complex structure on the base 2-sphere). It was shown by Li and Liu [51] that for a generic ω -compatible J , the class H in the rational surface X has a J -holomorphic representative. Hence, F and H both have J -holomorphic representatives, which implies that $F \cdot H = a \geq 0$.

There is a unique symplectic structure on X up to deformation and symplectomorphisms [51], so we can apply the adjunction formula to get

$$2g - 2 = F^2 + K \cdot F = m + (-3H + \sum_{i=1}^9 E_i) \cdot (aH - \sum_{i=1}^9 c_i E_i) = m - 3a + \sum_{i=1}^9 c_i.$$

Since $a, m \geq 0$, and $g \geq 2$, from the above equalities we have

$$3a = \sqrt{9a^2} = \sqrt{9(m + \sum_{i=1}^9 c_i^2)} \geq \sqrt{9(\sum_{i=1}^9 c_i^2)} = \sqrt{(\sum_{i=1}^9 1)(\sum_{i=1}^9 c_i^2)} \geq \sqrt{|\sum_{i=1}^9 c_i|^2},$$

where the last inequality is by Cauchy-Schwartz, and in turn

$$3a \geq \sqrt{|\sum_{i=1}^9 c_i|^2} = |\sum_{i=1}^9 c_i| = |2g - 2 - m + 3a| = 2g - 2 - m + 3a,$$

implying $m \geq 2g - 2$. The contradiction shows that there exists no such symplectic surface F . In turn, there is no such fibration or pencil. $\square$

In fact, if X is a symplectic 4-manifold in the homeomorphism class of a rational surface $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ with $p \leq 9$, X is exotic *if and only if* it admits a genus $g \geq 2$ pencil with $m < 2g - 2$ base points. This follows from Lemma 7 and Donaldson's result on the existence of Lefschetz pencils on symplectic 4-manifolds (together with the fact there is a unique genus-1 pencil, which is on CP).

Combining the lemmas 6 and 7 we conclude:

Theorem 8. *(X_i, f_i) are symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils whose total spaces are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (6 + i) \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Remark 9. These are the smallest genera examples one can find. Any Lefschetz pencil of genus 0 or 1 has a total space diffeomorphic to (a blow-up of) $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ or $E(1) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ [42, 53]. After blowing-up all the base points of a genus-2 Lefschetz pencil we arrive at a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration on a non-minimal symplectic $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$. Now if $\tilde{X}$ is an exotic rational surface, it cannot have the rational homology type of a (blow-up of a) symplectic Calabi-Yau surface either.

Thus there could be at most one base point, and as observed by Sato, $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ has at most one reducible fiber; see Theorem 5-5(iii) and Theorem 5-12(iii) in [60]. By Lemmas 4 and 5 in [13], there is no genus-2 Lefschetz fibration $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ with at most one reducible fiber and say $e(\tilde{X}) \leq 13$.

In fact, in [13], we have constructed *minimal* genus-2 Lefschetz fibrations whose total spaces are homeomorphic to rational surfaces $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p = 7, 8, 9$ [13]. These fibrations decompose as fiber sums, which implies their minimality [71, 9], and in turn why they are not diffeomorphic to blown-up rational surfaces.

The above observation generalizes to any genus $g \geq 3$ Lefschetz pencil (X, f) whose total space is an exotic $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p < 9$, allowing us to conclude that f has at most $2g - 4$ base points. It follows from the following more general observation: A collection of exceptional classes in the corresponding Lefschetz fibration $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ can be represented by disjoint multisections S_j , so each one intersects the regular fiber F positively at least once. However, $(\sum S_j) \cdot F \geq 2g - 3$ implies that $\kappa(X) = \kappa(\tilde{X}) \leq 1$ by the work of Sato [60]. Since X is not rational (nor can possibly be ruled), we already know that $\kappa(X) \neq -\infty$. Further, $\kappa(X) = 0$ or 1 implies that the minimal model of X has $c_1^2 = 0$, which is impossible for any X , as $c_1^2(X) = c_1^2(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = 9 - p > 0$ and blow-down increases c_1^2 . Hence $\kappa(X) = 2$ and has at most $2g - 4$ disjoint exceptional spheres.

In particular we see that each X_i in Theorem 8 is either minimal or it is only once blown-up of a minimal symplectic 4-manifold.

Remark 10. Using a variation of our construction with 3 different embeddings of P_1 into Γ_3 , we can get a genus-3 Lefschetz fibration $(\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{f}_0)$ where $\tilde{X}_0$ is an exotic symplectic $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 7\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. I expect this monodromy lifts to Γ_3^1 , and gives another pencil (X_0, f_0) whose total space is an exotic $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 6\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$.

Remark 11. The existence of exotic symplectic 4-manifolds in the homeomorphism classes in Theorem 8 was already established. The first example of an exotic symplectic $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, for $p = 9$, was the complex Dolgachev surface $E(1)_{2,3}$, as shown by Donaldson [21]. For $p = 8$, the first example was Barlow's complex surface, as proved by Kotschick [46]. For $p = 7$, the first examples were constructed via generalized rational blowdowns by Jongil Park [57], which are symplectic by the work of Symington [66]. Infinitely many distinct smooth structures in these homeomorphism classes were constructed using logarithmic transforms, knot surgeries and Luttinger surgeries [29, 35, 67, 30, 1] (all of which are indeed instances of surgeries along tori [17].)

Notably, only for $p = 9$ it is known that there is an *infinite* family of pairwise non-diffeomorphic *symplectic* 4-manifolds in the homeomorphism class of $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ (e.g. [29]). Moreover, it was observed by Stipsicz and Szabo that Seiberg-Witten invariants cannot distinguish any two *minimal* symplectic 4-manifolds homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# p\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ for $p < 9$ [65][Corollary 4.4]. It remains an open question whether there are two distinct symplectic 4-manifolds in these homeomorphism classes [63][Problem 11]. It is thus desirable to have examples with more structure in order to address this intriguing question. For instance, one can use other ϕ to obtain simply-connected symplectic genus-3 pencils in the same homeomorphism classes, possibly not isomorphic to the ones in Theorem 8.

3.4. An infinite family of genus-3 pencils with $c_1^2 = 0, 1, 2$ and $\chi_h = 1$.

By varying our construction of the positive factorizations W_i , we can also produce symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils with any prescribed abelian group of rank at most 2. Let $M = (m_1, m_2)$, where m_1 and m_2 are nonnegative integers. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let $(\tilde{X}_{i,M}, \tilde{f}_{i,M})$ be the Lefschetz fibration prescribed by the positive factorization W_i with $\phi_i = t_{b_1}^{-m_1} t_{a_2}^{m_2}$, and $(X_{i,M}, f_{i,M})$ be the corresponding pencil. Note that we still get a positive factorization W_i since ϕ keeps C and C' fixed.

We claim that $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_{i,M}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$. To verify it, let's go back to our fundamental group calculation via the finite presentation which has the generators a_j, b_j of $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$, and relators induced by the Dehn twist curves in W_i . The arguments we gave in Section 3.2 for the relators coming from the factors P_1, P_2 and P'_2 appearing in W_i apply here as well. In particular, $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_{i,M})$ is also a quotient of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by a_2 and b_2 , and the following abelianized relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 = -a_2 \text{ and } b_3 = -b_2 = b_1 & \quad \text{for all } W_i, \\ a_1 + 2a_2 + 4b_2 = 0 & \quad \text{for } W_1, \\ a_1 + a_2 = 0 & \quad \text{for } W_2 \text{ and } W_3. \end{aligned}$$

Importantly, there are no other relations coming from the factors P_1, P_2 and P'_2 : This is easy to see by abelianizing the relators (6)–(16), which include all the relators induced by the curves $x_1, x_2, x_3, B_0, B_1, B_2, B'_0, B'_1, B'_2$. Missing are the relators induced by the separating curves d, e from P_1 , the curves A_0, A_1, A_2 from P_1 , and the curves A'_0, A'_1, A'_2 from P'_2 . The first two are trivial in homology, so they have no contribution to the list of relators we already have. On the other hand, for each $j = 0, 1, 2$, A_j is homologous to B_j , because $[A_j] = [t_C(B_j)] = [B_j] + (C \cdot B_j)[C]$ by the Picard-Lefschetz formula, where C is a separating cycle. Similarly each A'_j is homologous to B'_j . Therefore the abelianized relations they induce are identical to those we already had from B_j, B'_j .

For our conjugation $\phi = t_{b_1}^{-m_1} t_{a_2}^{m_2}$, we get the additional relators

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad & b_1 + m_2 a_2 + b_2 = 0 = 0 \quad \text{for all } W_i, \\ (24) \quad & a_1 + m_1 b_1 + (2 + 4m_2)a_2 + 4b_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_1 \text{ and } W_2, \\ (25) \quad & a_1 + m_1 b_1 + a_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } W_3. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to before, (23) and (25), which hold for all $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_{i,M})$, imply $m_2 a_2 = 0$. The remaining relators involved in a given W_i then easily give $m_1 b_2 = -m_1 b_1 = 0$, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, $\pi_1(X_{i,M}) = \pi_1(\tilde{X}_{i,M}) = (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$, as claimed.

Since the monodromy of each $(\tilde{X}_{i,M}, \tilde{f}_{i,M})$ is equivalent to that of $(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ by a partial conjugation with $t_{b_1}^{-m_1+1} t_{a_2}^{m_2-1}$, they have the same Euler characteristic and signature. So $c_1^2(X_{i,M}) = 3 - i$ and $\chi(X_{i,M}) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For each i , we clearly have an infinite family of symplectic genus-3 pencils among

$$\{(X_{i,M}, f_{i,M}) \mid M = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\},$$

with pairwise homotopy inequivalent total spaces easily distinguished by $\pi_1(X_{i,M})$. By Parshin and Arakelov's proofs of the Geometric Shafarevich Conjecture, there are

finitely many holomorphic fibrations with fixed fiber genus $g \geq 2$ and degeneracy [59, 4]. This implies that all but finitely many of these symplectic pencils are non-holomorphic. Furthermore, for $M = (m_1, 0)$ with $m_1 \geq 2$, we get an infinite subfamily of pencils, whose total spaces cannot be complex surfaces, since there is no complex surface Z with $b_1(Z) = 1$ and $b^+(Z) > 0$ (e.g. cf. [10][Lemma 2]). (Note that the same argument applies to the infinite family of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces we will construct in the next section.)

We have proved:

Theorem 12. *There exists a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil $(X_{i,M}, f_{i,M})$ with $c_1^2(X_{i,M}) = 3 - i$, $\chi(X_{i,M}) = 1$, and $\pi_1(X_{i,M}) = (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$, for any pair of non-negative integers $M = (m_1, m_2)$, and for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Infinitely many of these pencils have total spaces homotopy inequivalent to a complex surface.*

Remark 13. There is only one example of a non-holomorphic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil in the literature, which was given by Smith in [61][Theorem 1.3] without appealing to Donaldson's theorem.³ (We learn from Naoyuki Monden that in a joint work with Hamada and Kobayashi they produce two more examples.) Theorem 12 improves the situation, at least quantitatively.

Remark 14. Following the works of Donaldson [22] and Gompf [37], every finitely presented group is the fundamental group of a symplectic Lefschetz pencil. (Also see [3] and [44].) An invariant of finitely presented group G is the $m_g(G)$, the minimal genus of a genus- g pencil (X, f) with $\pi_1(X) = G$, which is not as easy to calculate in general. However, Theorem 12 shows that for $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$, $m(G) \leq 3$. Well-known examples of genus-1 and genus-2 pencils show that $m_g(G) = 1$ for $G = 1$ (the pencil on $\mathbb{CP}^2$), and $m_g(G) = 2$ for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (the pencil on the Enriques surface) or $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ (e.g. Matsumoto's pencil on $S^2 \times T^2$). It seems likely that for all the other G as above, $m_g(G) = 3$.

4. A NEW CONSTRUCTION OF SYMPLECTIC CALABI-YAU SURFACES WITH $b_1 > 0$

Here we will give a new construction of an infinite family of symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with $b_1 = 2$ and 3, along with a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface with $b_1 = 4$, homeomorphic to the 4-torus. This will follow from our construction of new positive factorization of boundary multi-twists in Γ_3^4 corresponding to symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencils.

We find it more convenient for presentation purposes to discuss a special example first, and discuss the most general construction afterward. These will correspond to our examples with $b_1 = 4$, and then the others with $b_1 = 2$ and 3.

³In contrast, there are many non-holomorphic *fibrations* obtained by twisted fiber sums; e.g. [55, 43, 10]. However decomposable fibrations do not admit (-1) -sections —which could be blown-down to produce pencils [64].

4.1. A symplectic Calabi-Yau homeomorphic to the 4-torus.

Our main building block will be the following relation in Γ_2^2 [39]:

$$(26) \quad (t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_C)^2 = t_{\delta_1} t_{\delta_2},$$

where δ_i are the boundary parallel curves, and the curves B_i and C are as shown on the left-hand side of Figure 2.

The relation (26) can be rewritten as

$$t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2} t_C^2 t_{\delta_1}^{-1} t_{\delta_2}^{-1} = 1,$$

where each $A_j = t_C(B_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ are given on the left-hand side Figure 2). We can embed this relation into Γ_3 by gluing along the two boundary components δ_1 and δ_2 so that $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ is mapped to C' and the curves C, B_j, A_j are as shown in Figure 4, denoted by the same letters for simplicity. Thus the following holds in Γ_3 :

$$(27) \quad t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2} t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2} = 1,$$

or $P t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2} = 1$, where $P = t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} t_{A_0} t_{A_1} t_{A_2}$. Clearly P, t_C and $t_{C'}$ all commute with each other.

A similar embedding into Γ_3 can be given by mapping $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ to C instead, where the curves C, B_j, A_j are now as shown in Figure 4, all decorated by a prime notation this time (so C indeed maps to C' above). We thus get another relation in Γ_3 of the form:

$$(28) \quad t_{B'_0} t_{B'_1} t_{B'_2} t_{A'_0} t_{A'_1} t_{A'_2} t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-2} = 1,$$

or $P' t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2} = 1$, where $P' = t_{B'_0} t_{B'_1} t_{B'_2} t_{A'_0} t_{A'_1} t_{A'_2}$. Similarly, P', t_C and $t_{C'}$ all commute with each other.

Combining the above, we get a positive factorization $W = PP'$ in Γ_3 , since

$$PP' = P t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2} P' t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2} = 1.$$

Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ be the genus-3 Lefschetz fibration prescribed by W . We can equip $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ with a Gompf-Thurston symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$, in particular $\tilde{X}$ is a symplectic 4-manifold. We will show that $\tilde{X}$ is the 4 times blow-up of a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface X homeomorphic to the 4-torus. We will indeed show that it is a blow-up of a symplectic genus-3 pencil (X, f) , which we will first argue implicitly below, and then explicitly in the next section.

Lemma 15. *Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ be a genus $g \geq 2$ Lefschetz fibration and $c_1^2(\tilde{X}) = 2 - 2g$. If $\tilde{X}$ is not a rational or ruled surface, then $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ is a blow-up of a symplectic Lefschetz pencil (X, f) , where X is a (minimal) symplectic Calabi-Yau surface.*

Proof. We can equip $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ with a Gompf-Thurston symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$. By the work of Taubes [68, 69], any *minimal* symplectic 4-manifold has non-negative c_1^2 . So $\tilde{X} \cong X_0 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, where X_0 is a minimal model for $\tilde{X}$ and $m \geq 2g - 2$, which means that $\tilde{X}$ contains at least $2g - 2$ disjoint exceptional spheres S_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2g - 2$. There is an $\tilde{\omega}$ -compatible almost complex structure J with respect to which both a regular fiber F of $\tilde{f}$ and all S_j are J -holomorphic [60, 9], which implies that $(\sum_{j=1}^{2g-2} S_j) \cdot F \geq 2g - 2$. By the work of Sato [60] (also see [12]), this is only




FIGURE 2. Vanishing cycles $B_j, C, B_{j,i}$ and C_i in Hamada's lift of Matsumoto's fibration. On the left are the curves of the positive factorization in Γ_2^2 , along with the curves A_j we get after Hurwitz moves. On the right are the curves of the further lift in Γ_2^4 .

possible if the symplectic Kodaira dimension $\kappa(\tilde{X}) \leq 0$. As we assumed $\tilde{X}$ is not a rational or a ruled surface, we have $\kappa(\tilde{X}) \neq -\infty$ [47], leaving the only possibility as $\kappa(\tilde{X}) = 0$ and also that there are no other disjoint exceptional spheres than S_j in $\tilde{X}$. Thus $(\sum_{j=1}^{2g-2} S_j) \cdot F = 2g - 2$, so one can blow-down these exceptional spheres (which can be assumed to be symplectic after deforming $\tilde{\omega}$ if needed [38]) to arrive at a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil (X, f) , where $X = X_0$ is a minimal symplectic Calabi-Yau surface. $\square$

We show that $\tilde{X}$ satisfies the hypotheses of this lemma.

The Euler characteristic of $\tilde{X}$ is given by $e(\tilde{X}) = 4 - 4 \cdot 3 + \ell(W) = 4$, where $\ell(W) = 12$ is the number of Dehn twists in the factorization W .

The signature of $\tilde{X}$ can be calculated as $\sigma(\tilde{X}) = -4$ using the local signatures and Ozbagci's algorithm [54]. Since $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ is not hyperelliptic, we do not have the luxury of employing Endo's closed formula for a direct calculation, however the following observation allows us to deduce the signature from a calculation in Γ_2 , where any factorization is hyperelliptic: We have obtained W from the factorization which had two pairs of t_C and $t_{C'}$ with opposite signs. This is an *achiral* genus-3 Lefschetz fibration, which is a fiber sum of two achiral fibrations prescribed by the factorizations $P t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2}$ and $P' t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-2}$. Each one is a *section sum* of an achiral genus-2 Lefschetz fibration and a trivial torus fibration along a section of self-intersection zero, which, by Novikov additivity, has signature equals to that of the achiral genus-2 Lefschetz fibration. By the hyperelliptic signature formula for genus-2 fibrations [52, 26], the signature of the latter is -2 . Invoking Novikov additivity again, we conclude that the decomposable achiral genus-3 fibration has signature -4 , the sum of the signature of its summands. Lastly, we claim that canceling the pairs $t_C^{\pm 2}$ and $t_{C'}^{\pm 2}$ does not change the signature. This can be easily seen by splitting the fibration as $t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2}$ (or $t_{C'}^2 t_C^{-2}$) and the rest. The piece with monodromy factorization $t_C^2 t_{C'}^{-2}$ has zero signature, which is easily calculated from the induced handle decomposition, or by observing that it can be further split into two identical fibrations whose total spaces have opposite orientations (and thus opposite signatures). By Novikov additivity once again, the rest of the fibration has the same signature as the total signature of the achiral fibration. So $\sigma(\tilde{X}) = -4$.

It follows that $c_1^2(\tilde{X}) = 2e(\tilde{X}) + 3\sigma(\tilde{X}) = -4$. Assuming $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z}^4$ for the moment, let us complete our arguments regarding the homeomorphism type of the minimal model X of $\tilde{X}$. By Lemma 15 $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ is a blow-up of a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil (X, f) , where X is a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface. Since X is a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface with $b_1(X) > 0$, it has the rational homology type of a torus bundle over a torus [8, 47]. Moreover, since $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ group, the Borel conjecture holds in this case by the work of Farrell and Jones [27], and as observed by Friedl and Vidussi, this implies that a symplectic Calabi Yau surface with $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ is unique up to homeomorphism [34]. Hence X is homeomorphic to the well-known symplectic Calabi-Yau surface, the 4-torus.

We now calculate $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(\tilde{X})$, which will constitute the rest of this section. Using the standard handlebody decomposition for $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$, we can obtain a finite presentation for $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) \cong \pi_1(\Sigma_3) / N$, where N denotes the subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$

generated normally by the vanishing cycles of $\tilde{f}$. For $\{a_j, b_j\}$ standard generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ as given in Figure 3, we get

$$\pi_1(X) \cong \langle a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 \mid [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2][a_3, b_3], R_1, \dots, R_{12} \rangle,$$

where each R_i is a relation obtained by expressing a corresponding vanishing cycle (oriented arbitrarily) in $\{a_j, b_j\}$. Recall that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ has a section (in fact 4 (-1) -sections), so the above presentation is complete, there is no other relation.




FIGURE 3. Generators a_j, b_j of $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$

We have the following relations, the ones after the first one coming from Σ_3 all induced by the vanishing cycles $B_0, B_1, B_2, A_0, A_1, A_2, B'_0, B'_1, B'_2, A'_0, A'_1, A'_2$ (which are all given in Figure 4, in the given order:

- (29) $[a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2][a_3, b_3] = 1,$
- (30) $a_1 a_3 = 1,$
- (31) $a_1 \bar{b}_1 a_2 b_2 \bar{a}_2 a_3 \bar{b}_3 = 1,$
- (32) $\bar{b}_1 a_2 b_2 \bar{a}_2 \bar{b}_3 = 1,$
- (33) $a_1 [b_3, a_3] b_2 a_3 \bar{b}_2 [a_3, b_3] = 1,$
- (34) $a_3 \bar{b}_3 \bar{b}_2 [a_3, b_3] a_1^2 \bar{b}_1 \bar{a}_1 [b_3, a_3] b_2 [b_3, a_3] b_2 = 1,$
- (35) $a_1 \bar{b}_1 \bar{a}_1 [b_3, a_3] b_2 [b_3, a_3] b_2 \bar{b}_3 \bar{b}_2 [a_3, b_3] = 1,$
- (36) $\bar{a}_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 = 1,$
- (37) $a_1 \bar{b}_1 a_2 a_3^2 \bar{b}_3 \bar{a}_3 b_2 \bar{a}_2 = 1,$
- (38) $b_1 a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 b_3 \bar{a}_3 \bar{a}_2 = 1,$
- (39) $a_1 a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_2 = 1,$
- (40) $a_1 \bar{b}_1 a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3^2 \bar{b}_3 \bar{a}_3 b_2^2 \bar{a}_2 = 1,$
- (41) $\bar{b}_1 a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 \bar{b}_3 \bar{a}_3 b_2^2 \bar{a}_2 = 1,$

Here $\bar{x}$ denotes the inverse of the element x in the group.

When abelianized, the relations coming from each one of the triples $\{B_0, B_1, B_2\}, \{A_0, A_1, A_2\}, \{B'_0, B'_1, B'_2\}, \{A'_0, A'_1, A'_2\}$ give the same three relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_3 &= 0, \\ a_1 - b_1 + b_2 + a_3 - b_3 &= 0, \\ -b_1 + b_2 - b_3 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we identified the images of the generators with the same letters. Any 2 of these relations imply the other. So we can eliminate $a_1 = -a_3$ and $b_1 = b_2 - b_3$, and get a free abelian group of rank 4 generated by a_2, b_2, a_3 and b_3 .




FIGURE 4. Vanishing cycles B_j, A_j, B'_j, A'_j of the genus-3 fibration (X, f) . On the left are the curves coming from the factorization P and on the right are those coming from the factorization P' , which correspond to the two different embeddings of the factorization in Γ_2^2 into Γ_3 . (Dotted lines drawn on the surfaces on the top are the images of $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ under these embeddings.)

Now, going back to the presentation we have above for $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$, we easily see that it is also generated by a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 , since $a_1 = \bar{a}_3$ by (30) and $b_1 = a_2 b_2 \bar{a}_2 \bar{b}_3$ by (32). To prove $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z}^4$, it therefore suffices to show that a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 all commute with each other.

Replacing a_1 with $\bar{a}_3$ in (36) gives $[a_3, a_2] = 1$. From (32) we have $\bar{b}_1 a_2 b_2 \bar{a}_2 = b_3$. Substituting this in (31), and replacing a_1 with $\bar{a}_3$, we get $[a_3, b_3] = 1$. With $a_1 = \bar{a}_3$ and $[a_3, b_3] = 1$, the relation (33) simplifies to $[a_3, b_2] = 1$. So a_3 commute with each one of a_2, b_2, b_3 . We can rewrite (39) as $a_1 a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_2 = a_2 \bar{b}_2 a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_2 = 1$, since $a_1 = \bar{a}_3$ and a_3 commutes with a_2 and $\bar{b}_2$. So $[a_2, b_2] = 1$. It implies that $b_1 = a_2 b_2 \bar{a}_2 \bar{b}_3 = b_1 \bar{b}_3$. Note that $[a_3, b_3] = 1$ means $a_3 b_3 \bar{a}_3 = b_3$. Using these last two identities and (38) we derive $b_2 \bar{b}_3 a_2 \bar{b}_2 b_3 \bar{a}_2 = 1$. So $[b_2, \bar{b}_3 a_2] = [a_2, \bar{b}_2 b_3] = 1$. These commuting relations, together with $[a_2, b_2] = 1$, give us the last two commuting relations we need: $[b_2, b_3] = [a_2, b_3] = 1$.

Hence $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$, generated by a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 . This completes our claim that (X, f) is a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil, where X is a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface homeomorphic to the 4-torus.

4.2. Symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with $b_1 = 2$ and 3 via pencils.

We will now generalize the construction in the previous section, and construct an infinite family of examples of genus-3 Lefschetz pencils on symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with $b_1 = 2$ and 3. We will produce these pencils explicitly via positive factorizations of the boundary multi-twist $\Delta = t_{\partial_1} t_{\partial_2} t_{\partial_3} t_{\partial_4}$ in Γ_2^4 , where ∂_i are boundary parallel curves for distinct boundary components of Σ_3^4 . For this, we will use a further lift of the monodromy factorization of Matsumoto's genus-2 fibration to Γ_2^4 given by Hamada, which will allow us to obtain a nice and symmetric presentation at the end.

The factorization (26) has a further lift to Γ_2^4 [39] of the form:

$$(42) \quad t_{B_{0,1}} t_{B_{1,1}} t_{B_{2,1}} t_{C_1} t_{B_{0,2}} t_{B_{1,2}} t_{B_{2,2}} t_{C_2} = t_{\delta_1} t_{\delta_2} t_{\delta_3} t_{\delta_4},$$

where again δ_i are the boundary parallel curves, and the curves $B_{j,i}, C_i$ are given on the right-hand side of Figure 2. When the two boundary components δ_3, δ_4 , drawn in the middle of Σ_2^4 in Figure 2, are capped off, the curves $B_{j,i}$ descend to B_j and C_i to C for each $j = 0, 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2$.




FIGURE 5. Curves involved in our embeddings of $\partial \Sigma_2^4$ into $\partial \Sigma_3^4$.

The relation (42) can be rewritten as the following relation in Γ_2^4 :

$$t_{B_{0,1}} t_{B_{1,1}} t_{B_{2,1}} t_{A_{0,2}} t_{A_{1,2}} t_{A_{2,2}} t_{C_1} t_{C_2} t_{\delta_1}^{-1} t_{\delta_2}^{-1} = t_{\delta_3} t_{\delta_4},$$

where each $A_{j,2} = t_{C_1}(B_{j,2})$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Embed this configuration of curves on Σ_2^4 into Σ_3^4 by gluing a cylinder with 2 holes along two of the boundary components of Σ_3^4 . Choose the embedding so that δ_1 maps to C_2' , δ_2 to C_1' , δ_3 to ∂_2 and δ_4 to ∂_1 . (See Figure 5.) We then map the interior of Σ_2^4 so that the curves $B_{j,1}$ and $A_{j,2}$ all map to the curves B_j and A_j in Figure 4 when the boundary components ∂_3 and ∂_4 are capped off. (That is, we map the interior so that when $\partial\Sigma_3^4$ is capped off, we get the first embedding we had in the previous section.) Thus the following holds in Γ_3^4 :

$$(43) \quad t_{B_{0,1}} t_{B_{1,1}} t_{B_{2,1}} t_{A_{0,2}} t_{A_{1,2}} t_{A_{2,2}} t_{C_1} t_{C_2} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} = t_{\partial_1} t_{\partial_2},$$

or $\tilde{P} t_{C_1} t_{C_2} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} = 1$, where $\tilde{P} = t_{B_{0,1}} t_{B_{1,1}} t_{B_{2,1}} t_{A_{0,2}} t_{A_{1,2}} t_{A_{2,2}}$.

A similar embedding into Γ_3^4 can be given by mapping δ_1 to C_2 , $\delta_2 \rightarrow C_1$, $\delta_3 \rightarrow \partial_3$ and $\delta_4 \rightarrow \partial_4$ (see Figure 5, where the interior is mapped in a similar fashion as above so as to get the curves B_j' and A_j' of Figure 4. We get another relation in Γ_3^4 of the form:

$$(44) \quad t_{B_{0,1}'} t_{B_{1,1}'} t_{B_{2,1}'} t_{A_{0,2}'} t_{A_{1,2}'} t_{A_{2,2}'} t_{C_1'} t_{C_2'} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} = t_{\partial_3} t_{\partial_4},$$

or $\tilde{P}' t_{C_1'} t_{C_2'} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} = 1$, where $\tilde{P}' = t_{B_{0,1}'} t_{B_{1,1}'} t_{B_{2,1}'} t_{A_{0,2}'} t_{A_{1,2}'} t_{A_{2,2}'}$.

Now let ϕ be any mapping class in Γ_3^4 which fix the curves C_1, C_2, C_1', C_2' . Then the product of $\tilde{P}^\phi$ and $\tilde{P}'$ then give:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^\phi \tilde{P}' &= \tilde{P}^\phi t_{C_1} t_{C_2} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} \tilde{P}' t_{C_1'} t_{C_2'} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} \\ &= (\tilde{P} t_{C_1} t_{C_2} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1})^\phi \tilde{P}' t_{C_1'} t_{C_2'} t_{C_2'}^{-1} t_{C_1'}^{-1} \\ &= t_{\partial_1} t_{\partial_2} t_{\partial_3} t_{\partial_4}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first equality we used the commutativity of disjoint Dehn twists $t_{C_1}, t_{C_2}, t_{C_1'}, t_{C_2'}$ and that they all commute with $\tilde{P}$ (and $\tilde{P}'$). The second equality comes from our choice of ϕ so that ϕ commutes with Dehn twists along C_1, C_2, C_1', C_2' . Therefore $\underline{W_\phi = \tilde{P}^\phi \tilde{P}'}$ is a positive factorization of the boundary multi-twist $\Delta = t_{\partial_1} t_{\partial_2} t_{\partial_3} t_{\partial_4}$ in Γ_3^4 for any ϕ in Γ_3^4 fixing the curves C_1, C_2, C_1', C_2' on Σ_3^4 .

Letting (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) denote the symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil corresponding to the positive factorization W_ϕ , we can now state our general result as:

Theorem 16. *Each (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) is a symplectic genus-3 Lefschetz pencil on a minimal symplectic Calabi-Yau surface, where X is homeomorphic to the 4-torus for $\phi = 1$. The family of genus-3 Lefschetz pencils*

$$\{(X_\phi, f_\phi) \mid \phi \in \Gamma_3^4 \text{ and keeps } C_1, C_2, C_1', C_2' \text{ fixed}\}$$

contains a subfamily

$$\{(X_M, f_M) \mid H_1(X_M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}), \text{ for } M = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \}.$$

We will appeal to the following in the proof of our theorem:

Lemma 17. *The manifolds $S^2 \times T^2$ and $S^2 \tilde{\times} T^2$ do not admit any genus-3 Lefschetz pencils with 4 base points.*

Proof. We will show that neither one of these ruled surfaces contains an embedded symplectic surface F of genus 3 and self-intersection 4, whereas the fiber of a pencil as in the statement of lemma would give such an F .

For $X = S^2 \times T^2$, $H_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by $S = S^2 \times \{pt\}$ and $T = \{pt\} \times T^2$, where $S \cdot S = 0$, $T \cdot T = 0$, and $S \cdot T = 1$. Now let $F = aS + bT$ be a symplectic curve of genus 3 with $F^2 = 4$. So $4 = F^2 = 2ab$, which implies $ab = 2$. Since there is a unique symplectic structure on a minimal ruled surface up to deformations and symplectomorphisms [51], we can apply the adjunction formula and derive

$$4 = e(F) = F^2 + K_X \cdot F = 4 + (-2T) \cdot (aS + bT) = 4 - 2a,$$

and get $a = 0$. It however contradicts with $ab = 2$ above, so there is no such F .

For $X = S^2 \tilde{\times} T^2$, $H_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by the fiber S and section T of the degree-1 ruling on X , where $S \cdot S = 0$, $T \cdot T = 1$, and $S \cdot T = 1$. Let $F = aS + bT$ be a symplectic curve of genus 3 with $F^2 = 4$. So $4 = F^2 = 2ab + b^2$, which implies $b(2a + b) = 4$. By the same argument as above, we can apply the adjunction to derive

$$4 = e(F) = F^2 + K_X \cdot F = 4 + (S - 2T) \cdot (aS + bT) = 4 - 2a - b,$$

and get $2a + b = 0$, which contradicts with $b(2a + b) = 4$. So there is no such F in this case either. $\square$

We can now prove our theorem:

Proof of Theorem 16. For $\phi = 1$ we get the pencil (X, f) constructed in the previous section, now with an explicit lift of its monodromy to Γ_3^4 as a positive factorization $\tilde{P}\tilde{P}' = \Delta$. Since any (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) can be derived from (X, f) by a partial conjugation along the first factor $\tilde{P}$ in this factorization, $e(X_\phi) = e(X) = 4$, $\sigma(X_\phi) = \sigma(X) = -4$, and $c_1^2(X_\phi) = c_1^2(X) = -4$. By Lemma 15, we conclude that X_ϕ is a minimal symplectic Calabi-Yau surface, provided it is not rational or ruled.

From $2 - 2b_1(X_\phi) + b^+(X_\phi) + b^-(X_\phi) = e(X_\phi) = 4$ and $b^+(X_\phi) - b^-(X_\phi) = \sigma(X_\phi) = -4$ we see that $b^+(X_\phi) = 1$ only if $b_1(X_\phi) = 2$. So the only rational or ruled surface with these characteristic numbers can be $S^2 \times T^2$ or $S^2 \tilde{\times} T^2$. However, by the previous lemma, neither one of these surfaces admit a genus-3 pencil, so any X_ϕ is a symplectic Calabi-Yau surface.

To prove the second part of the theorem, consider genus-3 pencils (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) with $\phi = t_{b_1}^{-m_1} t_{a_3}^{m_2}$ (where b_1 and a_3 are as in Figure 3). Note that b_1 and a_3 are disjoint from C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 , so ϕ fixes all. For $m = (m_1, m_2)$ any pair of non-negative integers, we denote this pencil by (X_m, f_m) , and its monodromy factorization by $W_m = \tilde{P}^\phi \tilde{P}'$, $\phi = t_{b_1}^{-m_1} t_{a_3}^{m_2}$.

As we observed in the previous section, every triple of vanishing cycles $\{B_0, B_1, B_2\}$, $\{A_0, A_1, A_2\}$, $\{B'_0, B'_1, B'_2\}$, $\{A'_0, A'_1, A'_2\}$ give the same three relations in H_1 :

$$(45) \quad a_1 + a_3 = 0,$$

$$(46) \quad a_1 - b_1 + b_2 + a_3 - b_3 = 0,$$

$$(47) \quad b_1 - b_2 + b_3 = 0,$$

where the first and the third relations imply the second. We can draw two conclusions: First, the vanishing cycles coming from the non-conjugated factor $\tilde{P}'$ induce exactly these relations in $H_1(X_m)$. Second, the vanishing cycles coming from the conjugated factor $\tilde{P}^\phi$ induce the following relations we can easily calculate using the Picard-Lefschetz formula:

$$(48) \quad a_1 + m_1 b_1 + a_3 = 0,$$

$$(49) \quad a_1 + m_1 b_1 - b_1 + b_2 + a_3 - m_2 a_3 - b_3 = 0,$$

$$(50) \quad b_1 - b_2 + m_2 a_3 + b_3 = 0.$$

We easily see that (45) and (48) imply $m_1 b_1 = 0$, and (47) and (50) imply $m_2 a_3 = 0$, whereas the others are already implied by these relations. From $a_3 = -a_1$ and $b_3 = b_2 - b_1$, we conclude that $H_1(X_m)$ is generated by a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 with $m_1 b_1 = 0$ and $m_2 a_1 = 0$. Hence $H_1(X_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_1 \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2 \mathbb{Z})$, as promised. $\square$

Remark 18. The very first question here is whether or not every X_ϕ is a torus bundle over a torus, as they are commonly conjectured to exhaust the list of all symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces with $b_1 > 0$. If for any ϕ , $\pi_1(X_\phi)$ is not a 4-dimensional solvmanifold group [41, 34], this would imply that X_ϕ is *not* a torus bundle over a torus, and it is a new symplectic Calabi-Yau surface. As our arguments in the proof of Theorem 16 show, if any partial conjugation along any Hurwitz equivalent factorization to W_ϕ results in a pencil with a fundamental group which is not a solvmanifold group, we can arrive at a similar conclusion as well. I do not know for the moment if either one of these can be realized or ruled out for all possible ϕ .

It is worth comparing our pencils with those on torus bundles over tori. In [62] Ivan Smith constructs genus-3 pencils on torus bundles *admitting sections* (not all do), by generalizing the algebraic geometric construction of holomorphic genus-3 pencils on abelian surfaces. A natural question is whether or not our examples overlap with Smith's. (Well, if they do, the explicit factorizations W_ϕ can be seen to prescribe very nice handle decompositions for such torus bundles.)

Note that that any torus bundle over a torus with a section S would admit a second disjoint section as well; for any section of a surface bundle over a torus has self-intersection zero [15] and can be pushed-off of itself. So any genus-3 Lefschetz fibration of Smith would be determined by a pair $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Gamma_1^2$ *subject to* $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 1$.

Now for a comparison, let us note that the stabilizer subgroup of Γ_3^4 which fix C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 , is generated by Dehn twists along curves which do not intersect the stabilized collection, and the obvious involution swapping the genus one surfaces bounded by C_1, C'_2 and by C'_1, C_2 . (This involution maps each A_j, B_j, A'_j, B'_j to itself!) So any ϕ in Theorem 16 can be expressed as $\phi = t_{z_k} \cdots t_{z_1}$, where each z_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$, is either contained in the subsurface $F_1 \cong \Gamma_1^2$ in Γ_3^4 bounded by C_1 and C'_2 , or $F_2 \cong \Gamma_1^2$ bounded by C_2 and C'_1 . Clearly these have disjoint supports, so we can rearrange the indices so that $\phi = \phi_1 \phi_2$, where each ϕ_i is compactly supported on F_i . Hence we see that (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) is determined by a pair of mapping classes $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Gamma_1^2$, *but with no relation to each other whatsoever!*

Remark 19. As observed in the previous remark, for each ϕ in Theorem 16, we have factorization $\phi = t_{z_k} \cdots t_{z_1}$, where z_i are disjoint from C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 . So

$$W_\phi = \tilde{P}^\phi \tilde{P}' = (\phi \tilde{P} \phi^{-1}) \tilde{P}' = (t_{z_k} (\cdots (t_{z_1} \tilde{P} t_{z_1}^{-1}) \cdots) t_{z_k}^{-1}) \tilde{P}'$$

is obtained by a *sequence* of partial conjugations by $t_{z_1}, \dots, t_{z_k}$, which amounts to performing fibered Luttinger surgeries along Lagrangian tori or Klein bottles that are swept off by z_i on the fibers over a loop on the base [5, 11]. The reason we can break down the conjugation of $\tilde{P}$ by ϕ to a sequence of partial conjugations by Dehn twists is that at each step the conjugated mapping class $t_{z_i} \cdots t_{z_1} \tilde{P} t_{z_1}^{-1} \cdots t_{z_i}^{-1}$ indeed commutes with $t_{z_{i+1}}$. This is easy to see once we recall that as a mapping class $\tilde{P} = t_C^{-2} t_{C'}^2$, and that each z_i is disjoint from C and C' . The mapping class $t_{z_i} \cdots t_{z_1} \tilde{P} t_{z_1}^{-1} \cdots t_{z_i}^{-1} = t_C^{-2} t_{C'}^2$, certainly commutes with $t_{z_{i+1}}$.

Moreover, each z_i is supported away from $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_4$, so these surgeries can be viewed in the minimal models X_ϕ . Since Luttinger surgery do not change ω away from these Lagrangians and since the canonical class K can be supported away from them [6], K^2 or $K \cdot [\omega]$ do not change under these surgeries. Thus the symplectic Kodaira dimension does not change under these surgeries. This gives an alternative proof (without using Lemma 17) of all (X_ϕ, f_ϕ) being symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces, since all are obtained from the symplectic Calabi-Yau (X, f) we constructed in the previous section by partial conjugations.

With this said, we observe that if our family $\{X_\phi\}$ coincides with torus bundles over tori, we immediately get a proof of an improved version of a conjecture by Chung-I Ho and Tian-Jun Li: that every symplectic torus bundle over a torus can be obtained from the 4-torus via Luttinger surgeries along tori [40], or Klein bottles, as we add. (This conjecture is easier to verify for *Lagrangian torus bundles* over tori, which however only constitute a subfamily of torus bundles with $b_1 \geq 3$.) All our examples are clearly obtained from X , our symplectic Calabi-Yau surface homeomorphic to the 4-torus, via Luttinger surgeries.

4.3. The upper bound on the first Betti number of a genus- g fibration.

In [45], Korkmaz asked if it is true that $b_1(X) \leq g$ for any non-trivial genus- g Lefschetz fibration (X, f) , noting that this is the case for all the examples he knows of —with a single counter-example provided by Smith's genus-3 fibration on $T^4 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. Here we will sketch a generalizing of our construction of a genus-3 Lefschetz pencil (X, f) with $b_1 = 4$ in Section 4.1 to higher genera, which provides a negative answer to Korkmaz's question, for all odd $g > 1$.

Our input will be the generalization of Matsumoto's genus-2 fibration constructed by Korkmaz himself [43] (and also by Cadavid [19]): for every $h > 0$, there is a genus- $2h$ Lefschetz fibration on the ruled surface $S^2 \times \Sigma_h \# 4\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. As shown by Hamada, the monodromy factorization of these can be lifted to Γ_{2h}^2 to obtain:

$$(51) \quad (t_{B_0} t_{B_1} t_{B_2} \cdots t_{B_{2h-1}} t_{B_{2h}} t_C)^2 t_{\delta_1}^{-1} t_{\delta_2}^{-1} = 1,$$

where the curves $B_0, \dots, B_{2h}, C$ and the boundary parallel curves δ_1, δ_2 are as shown in Figure 6. Embed this relation into Γ_g , for $g = 2h + 1$, by gluing along the two boundary components δ_1 and δ_2 of Σ_{2h}^2 . We can also embed it by rotating the




FIGURE 6. Vanishing cycles B_j, C in Hamada's lift of the generalized Matsumoto fibration of genus $2h$.

resulting surface by 180 degrees as before. The product of the two factorizations we get in Γ_g through these two embeddings prescribes a fiber sum decomposable *achiral* genus- g Lefschetz fibration. Removing pairs of matching Dehn twists with opposite signs (coming from the two embeddings of t_C^2 and $t_{\delta_1} = t_{\delta_2}$) gives us a genus- g Lefschetz fibration $(\widetilde{X}_h, \widetilde{f}_h)$ with $H_1(\widetilde{X}_h) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2h+2}$, which can be seen from a straightforward calculation as before. Moreover, similar to our refined construction in Theorem 16, we could the further lifts of the Cadavid-Korkmaz fibration in Γ_{2h}^4 to obtain a pencil (X_h, f_h) with 4 base points, blowing-up which yields $(\widetilde{X}_h, \widetilde{f}_h)$. We have $b_1(X_h) = b_1(\widetilde{X}_h) = 2h + 2 = g + 1 \not\leq g$.

With our marginal examples in mind, it seems reasonable to revamp Korkmaz's estimate and ask if every non-trivial Lefschetz pencil (X, f) has $b_1(X) \leq g + 1$. We can thus ask:

Question 20. *Is the first Betti number $b_1(X)$ of any non-trivial genus- g Lefschetz pencil (X, f) bounded above by $g + 1$? What is the supremum for $b_1(X)$ of all genus- g Lefschetz pencils (X, f) for a given g ?*

It has been known for a while by now that $b_1(X) \leq 2g - 1$ for any non-trivial genus- g Lefschetz pencil/fibration (X, f) [3]. The first part of Question 20 asks if $g + 1$ can be a sharper bound, still linear in g . The second part of Question 20, which is formulated in the most general way, can already be answered for low genera pencils: for $g = 1$ this supremum is clearly 0, and it is 2 for $g = 2$, which can be easily shown using the Kodaira dimension (invoking [60], as in Remark 9). For $g = 3$, we conjecture that the supremum is indeed $4 = g + 1$.

Note that, the analogous question for the supremum for the second Betti number $b_2(X)$ of *relatively minimal* genus- g pencils (X, f) is now fully answered. It is 11 for $g = 1$, realized by the pencil on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 8\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$; 37 for $g = 2$, following from Smith's analysis of genus-2 pencils in [61]; whereas the supremum does *not* exist when $g \geq 3$, which is implied by the arbitrarily long positive factorizations of the boundary multi-twist produced in [18, 20, 16]. However, constructions of fixed genus pencils with large b_2 is rather antagonistic to that of fixed genus pencils with large b_1 : larger b_2 means more vanishing cycles (typically) killing b_1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Akhmedov, R. I. Baykur and D. Park, *Constructing infinitely many smooth structures on small 4-manifolds*, J. Topol. 1 (2008), no. 2, 409-428.
- [2] A. Akhmedov and B. D. Park, *Exotic smooth structures on small 4-manifolds with odd signatures*, Invent. Math. 181 (2010), no. 3, 577-603.
- [3] J. Amorós, F. Bogomolov, L. Katzarkov, and T. Pantev. *Symplectic Lefschetz fibrations with arbitrary fundamental groups*, with an appendix by I. Smith, J. Differential Geom. vol 54 (2000): 489-545.
- [4] S. Ju. Arakelov, *Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 35 (1971) 1269-1293.
- [5] D. Auroux, *Mapping class group factorizations and symplectic 4-manifolds: some open problems*, Problems on mapping class groups and related topics, 123-132, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [6] D. Auroux, S. K. Donaldson and L. Katzarkov, *Luttinger surgery along Lagrangian tori and non-isotopy for singular symplectic plane curves*, Math. Ann. 326 (2003), no. 1, 185-203.
- [7] S. Baldrige and P. Kirk, *A symplectic manifold homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 3\mathbb{CP}^2$* , Geom. Topol. 12, 919-940.
- [8] S. Bauer, *Almost complex 4-manifolds with vanishing first Chern class*, J. Differential Geom. 79 (2008), no. 1, 25-32.
- [9] R. I. Baykur, *Minimality and fiber sum decompositions of Lefschetz fibrations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.; proc/12835 (2015).
- [10] R. I. Baykur, *Non-holomorphic surface bundles and Lefschetz fibrations*, Math. Res. Lett. 19 (2012), no. 3, 567-574.
- [11] R. I. Baykur, *Inequivalent Lefschetz fibrations and surgery equivalence of symplectic 4-manifolds*, to appear in J. Symplectic Geom. ; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4869>.
- [12] R. I. Baykur and K. Hayano, *Multisections of Lefschetz fibrations and topology of symplectic 4-manifolds*, to appear in Geom. Topol.; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2667>.
- [13] R. Inanc Baykur and M. Korkmaz, *Small Lefschetz fibrations and exotic 4-manifolds*, preprint; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.00089>.
- [14] R. Inanc Baykur and M. Korkmaz, *An interesting genus-3 Lefschetz fibration*.
- [15] R. I. Baykur, M. Korkmaz and N. Monden, *Sections of surface bundles and Lefschetz fibrations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no. 11, 5999-6016.
- [16] R. I. Baykur, N. Monden and M. Korkmaz, *Positive factorizations of mapping classes*, preprint; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0352>.
- [17] R. I. Baykur and N. Sunukjian, *Round handles, logarithmic transforms, and smooth 4-manifolds*, J. Topol., Vol. 6 No 1 (2013), 49-63.
- [18] R. I. Baykur and J. Van Horn-Morris, *Topological complexity of symplectic 4-manifolds and Stein fillings*, to appear in J. Symplectic Geom.
- [19] C. Cadavid, *A remarkable set of words in the mapping class group*, Dissertation, Univ. of Texas, Austin, 1998.
- [20] E. Dalyan, M. Pamuk and M. Korkmaz, *Arbitrarily long factorizations in mapping class groups*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Notices.
- [21] S. K. Donaldson, *Irrationality and the h-cobordism conjecture*, J. Differential Geom. 26 (1987), 141-168.
- [22] S. K. Donaldson, *Lefschetz pencils on symplectic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. vol. 53 (1999), no.2, 205-236.
- [23] S. K. Donaldson, *Lefschetz pencils and mapping class groups*, Problems on mapping class groups and related topics, 151-163, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [24] S. K. Donaldson, *Some problems in differential geometry and topology*, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), no. 9, T157-T164.
- [25] J. Dorfmeister, *Kodaira dimension of fiber sums along spheres*, Geom. Dedicata, March (2014), DOI 10.1007/s10711-014-9974-2.
- [26] H. Endo, *Meyer's signature cocycle and hyperelliptic fibrations*, Math. Ann., 316 (2000), 237-257.

- [27] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones, *Classical aspherical manifolds*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 75. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1990).
- [28] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 46 (1997), no. 2, 181–235.
- [29] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Knots, links, and 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. vol. 134 (1998), no. 2, 363–400.
- [30] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern, *Double node neighborhoods and families of simply connected 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), 171–180.
- [31] R. Fintushel, B. D. Park and R. Stern, *Reverse engineering small 4-manifolds*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 7 (2007) 2103–2116.
- [32] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Pinwheels and nullhomologous surgery on 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11 (2011), no. 3, 1649–1699.
- [33] M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. of Differential Geometry. 17 (3): 357–453.
- [34] S. Friedl and S. Vidussi, *On the topology of symplectic Calabi-Yau 4-manifolds*, J. Topol. 6 (2013), no. 4, 945–954.
- [35] R. Friedman, *Vector bundles and $SO(3)$ -invariants for elliptic surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), 29–139.
- [36] R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan, *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [37] R. E. Gompf, *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 142 (1995), no. 3, 527–595.
- [38] R. Gompf and A. Stipsicz, *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 20, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1999.
- [39] N. Hamada, *Sections of the Matsumoto-Cadavid-Korkmaz Lefschetz fibration*, preprint.
- [40] C.-I. Ho and T.-J. Li, *Luttinger surgery and Kodaira dimension*, Asian J. Math. 16 (2012), no. 2, 299–318.
- [41] J. A. Hillman, *Four-manifolds, geometries and knots*. Geom. & Topol. Monographs, 5. Geom. & Topol. Publications, Coventry, 2002. xiv+379 pp.
- [42] A. Kas, *On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration*, Pacific J. Math. 89 (1980), 89–104.
- [43] M. Korkmaz, *Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with Lefschetz fibrations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 3, 115–128.
- [44] M. Korkmaz, *Lefschetz fibrations and an invariant of finitely presented groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2009, no. 9, 15471572.
- [45] M. Korkmaz, *Problems on homomorphisms of mapping class groups*, Problems on mapping class groups and related topics, 81–89, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [46] D. Kotschick, *On manifolds homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 8\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$* , Invent. Math. 95 (1989), 591–600.
- [47] T.-J. Li, *Symplectic 4-manifolds with Kodaira dimension zero*, J. Differential Geom. 74 (2006), no. 2, 321–352.
- [48] T.-J. Li, *The Kodaira dimension of symplectic 4-manifolds*, Floer homology, gauge theory, and low-dimensional topology, 249–261, Clay Math. Proc., 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [49] T.-J. Li, *Quaternionic vector bundles and Betti numbers of symplectic 4-manifolds with Kodaira dimension zero*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, 1–28.
- [50] T.-J. Li, *Symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces*, Handbook of geometric analysis, No. 3, 231–356, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 14, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.
- [51] T.-J. Li and A. Liu, *Symplectic structures on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction formula*, Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 453–471.
- [52] Y. Matsumoto, *Lefschetz fibrations of genus two - a topological approach -*, Proceedings of the 37th Taniguchi Symposium on Topology and Teichmüller Spaces, (S. Kojima, et al., eds.), World Scientific, 1996, 123–148.

- [53] B. Moishezon, *Complex surfaces and connected sums of complex projective planes*, Lecture Notes in Math. 603, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [54] B. Ozbagci, *Signatures of Lefschetz fibrations*, Pacific J. Math. 202 (2002), no.1, 99–118.
- [55] B. Ozbagci and A. Stipsicz, *Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with genus-2 Lefschetz fibrations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 10, 3125–3128.
- [56] B. Ozbagci and A. Stipsicz, *Contact 3-manifolds with infinitely many Stein fillings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), no. 5, 1549–1558.
- [57] J. Park, *Simply connected symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$ and $c_1^2 = 2$* , Invent. Math. 159 (2005), 657–667.
- [58] B. D. Park, *Exotic smooth structures on $3\mathbb{CP}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 3057–3065.
- [59] A. N. Parshin, *Algebraic curves over function fields, I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 32 (1968) 1191–1219.
- [60] Y. Sato, *Canonical classes and the geography of nonminimal Lefschetz fibrations over S^2* , Pacific J. Math., 262 (2013), no. 1, 191–226.
- [61] I. Smith, *Lefschetz pencils and divisors in moduli space*, Geom. Topol. 5 (2001), 579–608.
- [62] I. Smith, *Torus fibrations on symplectic four-manifolds*, Turk. J. Math. 25 (2001), 69–95.
- [63] R. Stern, *Will we ever classify simply-connected smooth 4-manifolds?*, Floer homology, gauge theory, and low-dimensional topology, 225–239, Clay Math. Proc., 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [64] A. Stipsicz, *Indecomposability of certain Lefschetz fibrations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 129 (2001), no. 5, 1499–1502.
- [65] A. I. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó, *An exotic smooth structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 6\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$* , Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 813–832.
- [66] M. Symington, *Symplectic rational blowdowns*, J. Differential Geom. 50 (1998), no. 3, 505–518.
- [67] Z. Szabó, *Exotic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$* , Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 731–741.
- [68] C. H. Taubes, *The Seiberg–Witten and Gromov invariants*, Math. Res. Lett. 2:2 (1995), 221–238.
- [69] C. H. Taubes, *$SW \Rightarrow Gr$: From the Seiberg–Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, Journal of the AMS, 9 (1996), 845–918.
- [70] M. Usher, *The Gromov invariant and the Donaldson–Smith standard surface count*, Geom. Topol. 8 (2004), 565–610.
- [71] M. Usher, *Minimality and symplectic sums*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 49857, 17 pp.
- [72] M. Usher, *Kodaira dimension and symplectic sums*, Comment. Math. Helv. 84 (2009), no. 1, 57–85.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST, MA 01003-9305, USA

E-mail address: baykur@math.umass.edu